KANSAS

First, voters need to hear that Marshall has become such a “yes” man for those in power. Instead of spending time trying to lower prices, he actually works to block the release of the Epstein files, protecting child abusers and rapists instead of giving their victims justice. He minimized the importance of exposing Epstein's abuse of children, and called it a distraction.

Second, just ten days after being sworn into the Senate, Marshall sold his primary Kansas residence and kept a $1.5 million Florida home with its own private boat dock. When he’s not in Washington voting to raise prices and cut health care, he’s used taxpayer money to fly to Florida. How can he listen to Kansans and represent us when he barely lives here?

Third, Roger Marshall votes with the big insurance and drug companies to cut funding for health care, and rural hospitals, raise premiums for Kansans and against lower drug prices on things like insulin. His record is so bad he got angry and ran out of a town hall when asked about his votes.

FIRST, VOTERS NEED TO HEAR THAT MARSHALL HAS BECOME SUCH A "YES" MAN FOR THOSE IN POWER. INSTEAD OF SPENDING TIME TRYING TO LOWER PRICES, HE ACTUALLY WORKS TO BLOCK THE RELEASE OF THE EPSTEIN FILES, PROTECTING CHILD ABUSERS AND RAPISTS INSTEAD OF GIVING THEIR VICTIMS JUSTICE. HE MINIMIZED THE IMPORTANCE OF EXPOSING EPSTEIN'S ABUSE OF CHILDREN, AND CALLED IT A DISTRACTION

FIRST, VOTERS NEED TO HEAR THAT MARSHALL HAS BECOME SUCH A "YES" MAN FOR THOSE IN POWER. INSTEAD OF SPENDING TIME TRYING TO LOWER PRICES, HE ACTUALLY WORKS TO BLOCK THE RELEASE OF THE EPSTEIN FILES, PROTECTING CHILD ABUSERS AND RAPISTS INSTEAD OF GIVING THEIR VICTIMS JUSTICE.

9/10/25: Marshall Voted For A Motion To Table An Amendment To The National Defense Authorization Act That Would Compel The Attorney General To Make Publicly Available, To The "Maximum Extent Possible" All Justice Department Records, Related To The Department's Investigation Of Jeffrey Epstein. On September 10, 2025, Senator Roger Marshall voted for "Thune, R-S.D., motion to table (kill) the Schumer, D-N.Y., amendment no. 3849 to the language proposed to be stricken by the Wicker, R-Miss., substitute amendment no. 3748 to the bill. The Schumer amendment would require the attorney general, within 30 days of the bill's enactment, to make publicly available, to the 'maximum extent possible,' all Justice Department records, documents, communications and investigation material related to the department's investigation of Jeffrey Epstein, Ghislaine Maxwell and other individuals or entities associated with Epstein. It would require the DOJ to disclose any immunity deals or plea bargains involving Epstein or his associates. It would allow the Justice Department to withhold or redact certain materials to protect victims' privacy, among other limited exceptions. It also would require the attorney general, within 15 days of making such records public, to report to Congress on the materials it has released, including a list of any redactions made and the legal justification for doing so, and a list of any government officials, public figures and foreign dignitaries named in the records." The motion passed 51-49. [CQ, 9/10/25; S.Amdt.3849 to S.2296, Vote #512, 9/10/25]

- **ABC News: The Amendment To A Defense Spending Bill Offered By Senator Schumer Was "A Senate Vote To Compel The Department Of Justice To Release The Jeffrey Epstein Files."** "Senate Democratic Leader Chuck Schumer's attempt to force a Senate vote to compel the Department of Justice to release the Jeffrey Epstein files turned out to be a very short lived one on Wednesday. Earlier Wednesday, Schumer took a rare procedural step to tee up the Senate to vote on his amendment that would have required the release of Epstein documents ahead of a final vote on a must-pass defense spending bill. Republicans largely stuck together -- with 51 GOP senators voting to set aside Schumer's amendment. Sens. Josh Hawley and Rand Paul voted with all Democrats to hold a vote on Schumer's amendment, but enough Republicans voted to swat it down, meaning it will no longer be considered. It would have taken 60 votes to pass the Schumer amendment. […] The amendment would have forced the Justice Department to turn over all files related to Epstein within 30 days of the legislation's passage." [ABC News, 9/10/25]

HEADLINE: "Moran, Marshall Vote To Stop Dems' Effort To Force Release Of Epstein Files" [Associated Press, 9/11/25]

KSNT (Topeka): "Marshall And Fellow Sen. Jerry Moran (R-Kan.) Were Among Those Who Voted To Table An Amendment From Senate Minority Leader Chuck Schumer (D-N.Y.) That Would Have Directed The Justice Department To Release All Epstein Files. […] Marshall Called It A 'Political Stunt'[.]" "Marshall and fellow Sen. Jerry Moran (R-Kan.) were among those who voted to table an amendment from Senate Minority Leader Chuck Schumer (D-N.Y.) that would have directed the Justice Department to release all Epstein files. They said their opposition was because the proposal was tied to a defense policy package. Moran said the move 'risked derailing traditionally bipartisan legislation that authorizes a pay raise for our troops, directs national security priorities and helps promote the safety of our nation.' Marshall called it a 'political stunt' that held servicemembers 'hostage.'" [KSNT, 9/20/25]

HE MINIMIZED THE IMPORTANCE OF EXPOSING EPSTEIN'S ABUSE OF CHILDREN, AND CALLED IT A DISTRACTION

July 2025: Marshall Said "It Is Just Not My Focus" Regarding An Effort To Release The Epstein Files, And "It's Just Not Of Much Interest To Me Right Now." REPORTER: "Demands are growing for the Trump administration to make Jeffrey Epstein's records public. House Democrats pushed a bill that would have required all related files to be released within a month, but House Republicans shut that down. Senator Roger Marshall says other issues deserve more attention." MARSHALL: "It is just not my focus. I don't, I mean I want justice to be served but we need to be focused right now on telling Americans about this reconciliation bill that we did, that it's gonna be the largest tax cut in American history, the largest decrease in spending, we're gonna secure the border, a whole lot of other things. So it's just not of much interest to me right now." [3 KSN, 7/16/25] (VIDEO)

Marshall Said There Was "A Lot Of Fake News" And That The Wall Street Journal Article About Trump's Ties To Epstein Was "Slanderous." MARSHALL: "I think that there's a lot of fake news in the middle of all this as well. So, I think even what the Wall Street Journal here is printing is, you know, is this slanderous? He was one of hundreds of names, and here's the next article out, incriminating the president is guilty. Meanwhile, all these victories are happening." [Guy Benson Show, 7/23/25] (AUDIO) 08:30

August 2025: Marshall Said It Didn't "Make Sense To Me, And This Is Part Of Their Psychosis, That They Are So Separated From Reality," To Keep Pushing The Epstein Issue, And That Democrats "Used It To Circumvent The Agenda Of The American People." "Sen. Roger Marshall, who supported turning to recess appointments to break Democrats' log jam, told Fox News Digital that it didn't 'make sense to me, and this is part of their psychosis, that they are so separated from reality,' to keep pushing the Epstein issue. 'They had four years to do something with this, and it was just quite the opposite,' the Kansas Republican said. 'As I recall, just quite the opposite. It was almost like they were hiding something.' 'My frustration is how they used it to circumvent the agenda of the American people… this is all they've got,' he continued. 'What else do they have? They don't have a leader, they don't have an agenda. They don't have solutions. All they know is, if it's President Trump, they're not going to like it, very matter of fact, they're going to hate it at the sacrifice of the entire country.'" [Fox News, 8/25/25]

Marshall Said Democrats Were Focused On Donald Trump And The Epstein Files Because They Did Not Want To Talk About Their Political Problems. Guy Benson: [10:50] "So look, I just feel like fine, track this down, but the fact that Trump's name appears somewhere in all these documents where there's no indication that we have of any sort of wrongdoing. I'm just not sure that's a giant story necessarily, although it's it's going to be treated as one." Roger Marshall: "Yeah, Guy again I kind of go back to my point. This is the only thing that they, the Democrats, can latch on to right now and most of this is fake news. They have a overall approval rating of around 18 or 19 percent We certainly know what the Democrats are against, but what are they for? They- they have an identity crisis right now. Uh they have no plan. They have no leadership. Their let- their loudest voices are- are leftist Marx- Marxists right now And meanwhile, President Trump is just racking up victory after victory after victory." [Fox News Radio, Guy Benson Show, 7/23/25] (AUDIO)

September 2025: Marshall Criticized The Senate Epstein File Vote As A "Political Stunt." "Politics are delaying the release of files from the Jeffrey Epstein sex trafficking case. […] Marshall and fellow Sen. Jerry Moran (R-Kan.) were among those who voted to table an amendment from Chuck Schumer (D-N.Y.) that would have directed the Justice Department to release all Epstein files. They said their opposition was because the proposal was tied to a defense policy package. […] Marshall called it a 'political stunt' that held servicemembers 'hostage.'" [KSNT, 9/30/25]

Marshall Said About The Epstein Files, "You Know, Certainly, Again, It's Not Something At The Top Of My List." Major Garrett: "One other thing that happened this week, are you satisfied with what you've heard from the Justice Department about Jeffrey Epstein?" Roger Marshall: "You know, certainly, again, it's not something at the top of my list. You know, the folks back home are much more interested in this one big beautiful bill. I think that they're interested in seeing the largest tax cut in in history. that we fixed crop insurance, that we're going to have the largest cut in spending by the federal government, that we're securing the border. That's what folks back home are concerned about. No one's asked me about Jeffrey Epstein in in months." [CBS News, The Takeout, 7/9/26] (VIDEO)

KSN-TV (Wichita): "Demands Are Growing For The Trump Administration To Make Jeffrey Epstein's Records Public […] Senator Roger Marshall Says Other Issues Deserve More Attention." Anchor: [00:00] "Demands are growing for the Trump Administration to make Jeffrey Epstein's records public. House Democrats pushed a bill that would have required all related files to be released within a month. But House Republicans shut that down. Senator Roger Marshall says other issues deserve more attention." [KSN, 7/16/25] (VIDEO)

SECOND, JUST TEN DAYS AFTER BEING SWORN INTO THE SENATE, MARSHALL SOLD HIS PRIMARY KANSAS RESIDENCE AND KEPT A $1.5 MILLION FLORIDA HOME WITH ITS OWN PRIVATE BOAT DOCK. WHEN HE'S NOT IN WASHINGTON VOTING TO RAISE PRICES AND CUT HEALTH CARE, HE'S USED TAXPAYER MONEY TO FLY TO FLORIDA. HOW CAN HE LISTEN TO KANSANS AND REPRESENT US WHEN HE BARELY LIVES HERE?

SECOND, JUST TEN DAYS AFTER BEING SWORN INTO THE SENATE, MARSHALL SOLD HIS PRIMARY KANSAS RESIDENCE AND KEPT A $1.5 MILLION FLORIDA HOME WITH ITS OWN PRIVATE BOAT DOCK

Marshall Sold His Great Bend, KS Home Where He Had Been Living Ten Days After Being Sworn Into The Senate. "He served two terms in the House before winning his Senate seat in an open race in 2020. Marshall was sworn into the Senate on Jan. 3, 2021. Just 10 days later, he sold the five-bedroom, four-bathroom house in Great Bend where he had raised his children for $415,000, according to the Barton County Appraiser's Office." [Kansas City Star, 9/25/24]

Marshall Listed A Property In Stafford County, Kansas As His Primary Residence While He Also Held A $1.2 Million Vacation Home In Sarasota, Florida. "On the side of a dirt road in western Kansas stands a locked metal gate flanked by a mailbox and two no-trespassing signs. A quarter-mile past the gate, obscured from the road by trees and terrain, sits a 1,120-square-foot cabin with a small patio that looks out onto a fishing pond. This is where Sen. Roger Marshall calls home. […] Some 1,500 miles away in Sarasota, Florida, the Kansas Republican keeps a much larger and more expensive house that includes a boat dock on a bayou and is only a short walk away from Sarasota Bay. At 1,949 square feet, the three-bedroom, two-bathroom house is valued at $1.2 million, more than eight times the appraised value of the cabin and surrounding land near St. John in Stafford County." [Kansas City Star, 9/25/24]

March 2026: Marshall's Agent Listed His Sarasota, FL Home For $1.45 Million. "Amid his Kansas reelection campaign, U.S. Sen. Roger Marshall is selling his vacation house in Florida two years after a hurricane. […] The three-bed, two-bath home in Sarasota was listed on March 30, according to the listing agent's website. The listing price is $1.45 million." [Topeka Capital-Journal, 8/12/26]

Marshall's Florida Home Had A Boat Dock. "Some 1,500 miles away in Sarasota, Florida, the Kansas Republican keeps a much larger and more expensive house that includes a boat dock on a bayou and is only a short walk away from Sarasota Bay. At 1,949 square feet, the three-bedroom, two-bathroom house is valued at $1.2 million, more than eight times the appraised value of the cabin and surrounding land near St. John in Stafford County." [Kansas City Star, 9/26/24]

WHEN HE'S NOT IN WASHINGTON VOTING TO RAISE PRICES AND CUT HEALTH CARE

MARSHALL VOTED FOR THE ONE BIG BEAUTIFUL BILL, WHICH WILL INCREASE ELECTRICITY PRICES AND CUT MEDICAID

MARSHALL WAS THE DECIDING VOTE FOR THE ONE BIG BEAUTIFUL BILL

Marshall Was The Deciding Vote For The Passage Of The 2025 Reconciliation Bill. In July 2025 Marshall voted for: "Passage, as amended, of the bill that would permanently extend nearly $4 trillion in expiring tax cuts, create several new tax breaks, fund border and immigration enforcement and air traffic control upgrades, cut Medicaid and other safety net programs and more. It also would increase the state and local tax deduction cap to $40,000 annually for five years for households making up to $500,000 a year until 2030, when it would permanently revert to $10,000. As amended, it would make changes to tax policy and social services programs, including an expansion of the bill's Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program eligibility requirements for migrants and parents. As amended, it would no longer return the cap on state and local tax deductions to $10,000 in 2030. It would no longer require solar and wind energy projects to begin construction within 60 days of enactment to be eligible for clean energy tax credits. Additionally, it would no longer require the Bureau of Land Management to sell up to 0.5 percent of public land for housing development and related infrastructure. It also would raise the statutory debt ceiling by $5 trillion." The measure passed by a vote of 50 – 50 with a tiebreaking vote by Vice President Vance. [CQ, 7/1/25, H.R. 1, Vote 372, 7/1/25]

THE ONE BIG BEAUTIFUL BILL WILL CUT MEDICAID IN KANSAS BY $2.785 BILLION, INCLUDING OVER A BILLION IN RURAL KANSAS, PUTTING RURAL HOSPITALS AT RISK OF CLOSURE

Manatt Health: The Senate Version Of The Reconciliation Bill Would Reduce Medicaid Spending In Kansas By $2.785 Billion Through 2034. "Using its Medicaid Financing model, Manatt Health (Manatt) has prepared updated estimates on Senate-passed H.R.1 including state-by-state estimates of Medicaid coverage and expenditure impacts (Table 1), hospital expenditure impacts (Table 2), and new Congressional District (CD)-level coverage and hospital expenditure impacts (Table 3). These indicate that states would experience a substantial reduction in Medicaid expenditures and significant Medicaid coverage losses over 10 years, and the nation's hospitals would sustain substantial cuts to Medicaid funding over the same time period."

State	Overall Medicaid Expenditure Impact	
	Senate Bill	
	$ Millions	% from Baseline
Total	**$ (1,248,773)**	**-11.5%**
Kansas	(2,785)	-4.8%

[Manatt Health, “Senate-Passed H.R. 1: Updated Estimates on Impact to State Medicaid Coverage and Expenditures, Hospital Expenditures, Including Impacts by Congressional District”, 7/1/25]

KFF: The Big Beautiful Bill Would Reduce Federal Medicaid Spending In Rural Kansas By $1.08 Billion Over A Ten-Year Period.

Figure 2

Largest Declines in Federal Medicaid Spending in Rural Areas Would Occur in States That Expanded Medicaid and Have Higher Shares of Rural Residents

Federal Medicaid spending in rural areas is estimated to decrease by $137 billion over a 10-year period under the enacted reconciliation package



Note: The analysis uses T-MSIS data to estimate the percentage of Medicaid spending that paid for services used by rural enrollees within each state. Those percentages are then applied to state-level estimated reductions in federal Medicaid spending from KFF's broader analysis of federal Medicaid spending reductions. Spending data in 2021 for Mississippi and West Virginia was unusable according to the DQ Atlas, so 2020 data was used instead.

Source: Allocating CBO's Estimates of Federal Medicaid Spending Reductions and Enrollment Loss Across the States, and KFF analysis of the T-MSIS Research Identifiable Files, 2021 • Get the data • Download PNG

KFF

[KFF, 7/24/25]

Brown University School Of Public Health Researchers: 7 Nursing Homes In Kansan Would Be At High Risk Of Closure Due To Cuts To Medicaid In The House-Passed Reconciliation Bill. “Senate Finance Committee Ranking Member Ron Wyden, D-Ore., Finance Committee member Senator Mark Warner, D-Va., Senate Budget Committee Ranking Member Jeff Merkley, D-Ore., and Senate Democratic Leader Chuck Schumer, D-N.Y., today shared new data about the impact of the Republican budget bill on nursing homes and Americans who count on these facilities. The analysis, conducted by researchers from the Brown University School of Public Health, identifies 579 nursing homes that would be at elevated risk of closure due to the proposed cuts to Medicaid in the Republican budget bill.”

KS	MEDICALODGES PITTSBURG	87	51	1	Urban	CRAWFORD	66762	20037
KS	MEDICALODGES JACKSON COUNTY	89	20	1	Metropolitan	JACKSON	66436	20085
KS	DIVERSICARE OF CHANUTE	88	65	1	Urban	NEOSHO	66720	20133
KS	ROLLING HILLS HEALTH CENTER	87	67	1	Metropolitan	SHAWNEE	66614	20177
KS	BONNER SPRINGS NURSING & REHAB CENTER	94	78	1	Metropolitan	WYANDOTTE	66012	20209
KS	KAW RIVER CARE AND REHAB	91	73	2	Metropolitan	WYANDOTTE	66111	20209
KS	MEDICALODGES POST ACUTE CARE CENTER	85	74	1	Metropolitan	WYANDOTTE	66104	20209

[Senate, Committee On Finance, Newsroom, Ranking Member’s News, “Wyden, Warner, Merkley and Schumer Highlight Threat to Nursing Homes if GOP Approves Medicaid Cuts”, 6/27/25]

Cecil G. Sheps Center For Health Services Research At The University Of North Carolina: 6 Rural Hospitals In Kansas Were Considered At Risk Of Closure. “On Thursday, Senate Democrats cited data from the Cecil G. Sheps Center for Health Services Research at the University of North Carolina outlining at least 338 rural hospitals in red and blue states alike considered to be “at risk,” which the senators defined as having either three straight years of negative total margins or being in the top 10% of Medicaid payer mix.”

NUMBER OF AT-RISK RURAL HOSPITALS PER STATE

"Substantial cuts to Medicaid or Medicare payments could increase the number of unprofitable rural hospitals and elevate their risk of financial distress. In response, hospitals may be forced to reduce service lines, convert to a different type of health care facility, or close altogether." - Dr. Holmes, Malone, and Pink, Cecil G. Sheps Center for Health Services Research, University of North Carolina

The following is the number of hospitals that meet one or both of two financial criteria: (1) the hospital is in the top 10% Medicaid payer mix of rural hospitals across the country, (2) the hospital has experienced three consecutive years of negative total margin. This means these rural hospitals face greater risk of being forced to stop providing some services, converting, or closing.

STATE	NUMBER OF AT-RISK RURAL HOSPITALS
ALABAMA	5
ALASKA	5
ARIZONA	5
ARKANSAS	1
CALIFORNIA	28
COLORADO	6
CONNECTICUT	1
DELAWARE	1
FLORIDA	1
GEORGIA	4
HAWAII	6
IDAHO	3
ILLINOIS	9
INDIANA	12
IOWA	2
KANSAS	6

[Fierce Healthcare, 7/12/25]

THE ONE BIG BEAUTIFUL BILL WILL CAUSE 19-32,000 KANSANS TO LOSE THEIR INSURANCE

KFF: 19-32,000 Kansans Will Lose Insurance Due To The One Big Beautiful Bill. "Kansas This policy is projected to increase the uninsured population by roughly 26K people — around a 1 percentage point change. This estimate could vary between 19K and 32K based on a 25% range of uncertainty. Of the total increase in the uninsured, 12K would become uninsured due to changes in Medicaid, 12K would become uninsured due to changes in the ACA, and 1.6K would become uninsured because of changes to Medicare and policy interactions." [KFF, 8/20/25]

KFF: Medicaid And CHIP Enrollment In Kansas Decreased 16,396 Since June 2025. [KFF, 6/26/26]

THE ONE BIG BEAUTIFUL BILL WILL RAISE KANSAS ELECTRICITY BILLS BY $380 A YEAR

Energy Innovation: The Big Beautiful Bill Would Raise Kansas Wholesale Electricity Prices 580% And Annual Household Utilities Costs $380 By 2035. "The 'One Big Beautiful Bill Act' was signed into law by President Trump on July 4th after being passed by the United States Congress. […] The loss of low-cost renewables and the resulting increase in gas prices would increase electricity prices in Kansas. We forecast that wholesale electricity prices increase by 110 percent by 2030 and 580 percent by 2035. Wholesale electricity costs in Kansas would balloon from $500 million in 2035 with current policies to $3.4 billion in the Senate scenario, a 580-percent increase over current policies. Utilities are expected to pass these costs on to consumers; we forecast the bill will raise electricity rates by 30 to 63 percent for residential, commercial, and industrial consumers in Kansas. Households in Kansas will face significantly increased energy costs: We find $140 annual increases in household energy bills by 2030 and $380 by 2035." [Energy Innovation, Kansas, 7/1/25]

MARSHALL VOTED AGAINST EXTENDING THE ENHANCED AFFORDABLE CARE ACT TAX CREDITS, WHICH RAISED HEALTH CARE PREMIUMS FOR THE 187K KANSANS WHO RECEIVED THEM BY $708 ON AVERAGE

Senator Roger Marshall Voted Against A Motion To Invoke Cloture On A Motion To Proceed To A Bill That Would Provide For A Three-Year Extension Of The Enhanced Affordable Care Act Tax Credits. In December 2025, Senator Roger Marshall Voted Against "Schumer, D-N.Y., motion to invoke cloture on the Schumer motion to proceed to the bill that would provide for a three-year extension of the enhanced Affordable Care Act tax credits." The motion was rejected by a vote of 51 - 48. [CQ, 12/11/25; S. 3385, Vote 644, 12/11/25]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Did Not Extend The Enhanced Premium Tax Credits. "But the 'One Big Beautiful Bill Act' is missing something health care advocates hoped to see: an extension of the insurance premium tax credits under the enhanced Affordable Care Act that are set to expire at the end of the year. The credits' absence is notable as the bill includes other proposed changes to the ACA marketplace, experts say." [CNBC, 5/23/25]

KFF: Ending Enhanced Premium Tax Credits Would Increase The Cost Of Affordable Care Act Premiums For Recipients On Average By 77%, Or $708 Annually. [KFF, 7/26/24]

2025: 187,387 People In Kansas Received The Enhanced Premium Tax Credits. [Keep Americans Covered, 11/2/25]

ACA Effectuated Enrollment In Kansas Decreased By 36,040, Or 20% In 2026. "Following several years of rapid enrollment growth in the Affordable Care Act (ACA) Marketplaces that corresponded with temporary enhanced premium tax credits, enrollment fell for the first time in seven years in 2026, when those tax credits expired. The Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation (ASPE) of the Department of Health and Human Services reported that enrollment declined by nearly three million people between 2025 and 2026. Earlier federal data and analysis had focused on plan selections, or sign-ups, which decreased by about one million (5%) from last year, but plan selections do not account for enrollees who ultimately do not make their premium payments and are not covered. Effectuated enrollment is different from selection or sign-up data in that it accounts for who paid their premiums. Consumers who canceled their coverage or did not make their premium payments, resulting in termination of coverage, do not contribute to effectuated enrollment totals." [KFF, 7/28/26]

Figure 3

ACA Marketplace Effectuated Enrollment Fell in Nearly Every State

Change in February effectuated enrollment, by state, 2025-2026



Note: Plan selections reflect end of open enrollment; effectuated enrollment for February, as of May 5, 2026.
Source: KFF analysis of CMS Open Enrollment Public Use Files, Health Insurance Exchanges Monthly Effectuated Enrollment, and state-based Marketplace websites

KFF

MARSHALL VOTED FOR TARIFFS THAT ARE RAISING PRICES BY MORE THAN A GRAND ANNUALLY

MARSHALL VOTED TO KEEP THE TARIFFS GOING

April 2025: Marshall Voted Against A Resolution That Would Have Ended Trump's State Of Emergency Used To Impose Global Tariffs. In April 2025, Marshall voted against "Passage of the joint resolution that would terminate the national emergency declared by President Donald Trump on April 2, 2025, used to impose global tariffs on U.S. trading partners." The resolution was rejected by a vote of 49-49. [CQ, 4/30/25, Roll Call Vote 225, S. J. Res. 49, 4/30/25]

October 2025: Marshall Voted Against A Joint Resolution To Terminate A National Emergency That Authorized 10% Tariffs On Global Imports. In October 2025, Senator Roger Marshall Voted Against: "Terminate the national emergency declared by the president in April 2025 with respect to global trade relationships. The emergency declaration imposed a 10 percent baseline tariff on all countries trading with the U.S." The joint resolution passed 51-47. [CQ, 10/30/25; S.J.Res.88, Vote 600, 10/30/25]

October 2025: Marshall Voted Against A Joint Resolution To Terminate A National Emergency That Authorized Tariffs On Brazilian Imports. In October 2025, Senator Roger Marshall Voted Against: "Passage of the joint resolution that would terminate the national emergency declared in a July 2025 executive order with respect to imports from Brazil. The executive order imposed an additional 40 percent tariff on Brazilian imports but exempted certain goods, including some metals, energy products and agricultural goods. The executive order also stated that Brazil has taken actions that undermine U.S. national security and economic interests. It accused Brazilian Supreme Court Justice Alexandre de

Moraes of politically motivated censorship and coercion of U.S. companies. It also accused Brazilian officials of bringing 'unjustified criminal charges' against former Brazilian President Jair Bolsonaro, among other antidemocratic activities." The joint resolution passed 52-48. [CQ, 10/28/25; S.J.Res.81, Vote 594, 10/28/25]

October 2025: Marshall Voted Against A Joint Resolution To Terminate A National Emergency That Authorized Tariffs On Canadian Imports. In October 2025, Senator Roger Marshall Voted Against: "Passage of the joint resolution that would terminate the national emergency declared by the president on Feb. 1, 2025, with respect to Canada's purported 'failure to act' to stop fentanyl and human trafficking at the northern border. The emergency declaration imposed tariffs on most imports from Canada." The joint resolution passed 50-46. [CQ, 10/29/25; S.J.Res.77, Vote 598, 10/29/25]

Marshall Voted Against A Motion To Commit The Big Beautiful Bill To The Senate Finance Committee. In June 2025, Senator Roger Marshall Voted Against "Hassan, D-N.H., motion to commit the bill to the Senate Finance Committee." The motion failed 48-52. [CQ, 6/30/25, HR 1, Vote 349, 6/30/25]

- **Washington Examiner: The Motion Had "The Stated Goal Of Ending The Trade War With Canada And Protecting Americans From Cost Increases. The Motion Failed 48-52."** "Sen. Maggie Hassan (D-NH) offered a motion to send the bill back to the Finance Committee with the stated goal of ending the trade war with Canada and protecting Americans from cost increases. The motion failed 48-52." [Washington Examiner, 7/1/25]

April 2025: Marshall Voted Against An Amendment To Senator Graham's Substitute Amendment Offered By Senator Schumer To The House Budget Resolution For FY 2025 To Establish A Deficit-Neutral Reserve Fund For Measures Related To Tariffs, If The Price Of Household Goods Increased. In April 2025, Senator Roger Marshall Voted Against "Schumer, D-N.Y., amendment no. 1884 to the Graham, R-S.C., substitute amendment no. 1717 that would establish a deficit-neutral reserve fund for measures related to tariffs, including to rescind tariffs, other than tariffs on countries of concern, imposed after Jan. 20, 2025, if the price of groceries, medicine and other household goods has increased." Amendment rejected by a vote of 46 – 53. [CQ, 4/4/25; S.Amdt.1884 1466 to S.Amdt. 1717 to H.Con.Res.14, Vote 176, 4/4/25]

TARIFFS WILL RAISE COSTS BY $1,100 PER HOUSEHOLD

Yale Budget Lab: Tariffs Will Raise Costs By $1,100 Per Household. "The average statutory tariff rate now stands at 11.0%, roughly where it settled after Section 122 tariffs expired on July 24th and were replaced with new tariffs under Section 301. Under current law, which includes several scheduled tariff increases between now and December, this figure is set to be 11.8% by the end of this year. We estimate the ultimate consumer price impact of current-law tariff policy to be about 0.7%. Estimated household costs due to tariffs total about $1,100 annually under current law." [Yale Budget Lab, 8/11/26]

From March 2025 To May 2026, Kansas Paid $1.7 Billion In Tariffs. [Trade Partnership Worldwide, May 2026]

HE'S USED TAXPAYER MONEY TO FLY TO FLORIDA. HOW CAN HE LISTEN TO KANSANS AND REPRESENT US WHEN HE BARELY LIVES HERE?

Politico: "Roger Marshall (R-Kan.) Has Charged Taxpayers For Multiple Flights To And From Sarasota, Florida, Where He Has A Vacation Home And Two Of His Adult Children Live, In A Possible Violation Of Senate Rules." "Sen. ROGER MARSHALL (R-Kan.) has charged taxpayers for multiple flights to and from Sarasota, Florida, where he has a vacation home and two of his adult children live, in a possible violation of Senate rules, Daniel Lippman reports. In expense reports he has filed to the secretary of the Senate and receipts provided by his office, Marshall charged his office for eight trips that included stops in Sarasota or nearby Bradenton and Tampa in 2021, 2022 and 2023 that totaled nearly $4,500." [Politico, 9/20/24]

Marshall's Spokesperson Maintained That All The Logged Trips Fell Under Official Senate Travel But Did Not Answer What Official Business Brought Marshall To Florida. "Senators may only be reimbursed for expenses that are 'essential to the transaction of official business while away from the official station or post of duty,' according to Senate rules. Marshall spokesperson CHARYSSA PARENT did not answer repeated questions on what official business was occurring in the Sarasota area that justified the expenses, but said in a statement that noted his 10 committees or subcommittees: 'Any official travel logged over the last 4 years has been official travel. Senator Marshall serves on many committees of jurisdiction that take him all over the country.'" [Politico, 9/20/24]

ROGER MARSHALL SARASOTA/TAMPA FLORIDA EXPENDITURES			
DATE OF TRIP	PAYEE NAME	TRIP DETAILS	EXPENDITURES

7/5/21 to 7/6/21	Citibank - travel CBA card	Sarasota FL to Wichita Kansas	$281 for airfare for Senator Marshall from Sarasota Florida to Wichita Kansas
12/18/21 to 12/18/21	Citibank - travel CBA card	Washington DC to Sarasota FL	$318 for airfare for Senator Marshall from Washington DC to Sarasota FL
12/22/21 to 12/23/21	Citibank - travel CBA card	Tampa FL to Kansas City MO and Return	$583.95 for Senator's transportation
12/18/21 to 1/2/22	Roger Marshall	Washington DC to Sarasota FL, Kansas City MO, Hays, Kansas City MO, Tampa FL, Sarasota FL and Return	$307.84 for Senator's per diem $163.19 for Senator's transportation $24.24 for Senator's incidentals
1/2/22 to 1/2/22	Citibank - travel CBA card	Sarasota FL to Washington DC	$397.4 for airfare for Senator Marshall from Sarasota FL to Washington DC
4/25/22 to 4/25/22	Citibank - travel CBA card	Sarasota FL to Washington DC	$324.6 for airfare for Senator Marshall from Sarasota FL to Washington DC
12/22/22 to 1/22/23	Roger Marshall	Washington DC To Sarasota Fl, New Orleans La, Sarasota Fl, Kansas City Mo, Overland Park, Wichita, Garden City, Salina, Overland Park, Kansas City Mo and Return	$1,320 for Senator's per diem $1,630 for Senator's transportation $112.78 for Senator's incidentals
3/2/23 to 3/6/23	Roger Marshall	Washington Dc To Sarasota Fl, Tampa Fl and Return	$77.12 for Senator's per diem $804.43 for Senator's transportation
3/30/23 to 4/16/23	Roger Marshall	Washington DC To Sarasota Fl, Wichita, Overland Park, Leavenworth, Atchison, Oklahoma City Ok, Wichita and Return	$867.6 for Senator's per diem $3,495 for Senator's transportation $84.03 for Senator's incidentals
6/22/23 to 7/10/23	Roger Marshall	Washington DC to Garden City, Wichita, Clearwater, Sarasota Fl and return	$742.42 for Senator's per diem $745.8 for Senator's transportation $49.11 for Senator's incidentals
10/5/23 to 10/13/23	Roger Marshall	Washington DC to Sarasota Fl, Tampa Fl, Idaho Falls ID, Overland Park, Olathe, Kansas City Mo and Return	$660 for Senator's per diem $929.09 for Senator's transportation $80.88 for Senator's incidentals
2/8/24 to 2/11/24	Roger Marshall	Washington DC To Tampa Fl, Saint Petersburg Fl and Return	$270.05 for Senator's transportation
5/10/24 to 5/14/24	Roger Marshall	Washington Dc to Key West FL, Sarasota FL and Return	$1,119.09 for Senator's per diem $1,090.98 for Senator's transportation $194.94 for Senator's incidentals
6/18/24 to 7/8/24	Roger Marshall	Washington DC To Kansas City MO, Leavenworth, Ottawa, Wichita, Emporia, Great Bend, Goodland, Hays, Kansas City Mo, Sarasota Fl and Return	$1,187.05 for Senator's per diem $950.13 for Senator's transportation $143.39 for Senator's incidentals

TOTALS	**Marshall's per diem:** $6,281.12
	Marshall's transportation/ airfare: $11,983.57
	Marshall's Incidentals: $689.37
	Total Expenditures: $18,954.06

[Congressional Expenses, Roger Marshall, page B-1351, posted 12/1/21; Congressional Expenses, Roger Marshall, page B-1366, posted 3/20/22; Congressional Expenses, Roger Marshall, page B-1371, posted 3/11/22; Congressional Expenses, Roger Marshall, page B-1607, posted 4/27/22; Congressional Expenses, Roger Marshall, page B-1620, posted 5/17/22; Congressional Expenses, Roger Marshall, page B-1513, posted 2/8/23; Congressional Expenses, Roger Marshall, page B-1517, posted 3/23/23; Congressional Expenses, Roger Marshall, page B-1500, posted 2/24/23; Congressional Expenses, Roger Marshall, page B-1813, posted 5/15/23; Congressional Expenses, Roger Marshall, page B-1821, posted 8/16/23; Congressional Expenses, Roger Marshall, page B-1825, posted 9/18/23; Congressional Expenses, Roger Marshall, page B-1825, posted 9/16/23; Congressional Expenses, Roger Marshall, page B-1499, posted 10/10/23; Congressional Expenses, Roger Marshall, page B-1502, posted 10/11/23; Congressional Expenses, Roger Marshall, page B-1502, posted 10/10/23; Congressional Expenses, Roger Marshall, page B-1502, posted 11/16/23; Congressional Expenses, Roger Marshall, page B-1503, posted 12/5/23; Congressional Expenses, Roger Marshall, page B-1507, posted 11/13/23; Congressional Expenses, Roger Marshall, page B-1509 – B-1510, posted 12/5/23; Congressional Expenses, Roger Marshall, page B-1726, posted 4/22/24; Congressional Expenses, Roger Marshall, page B-1733, posted 6/13/24; Congressional Expenses, Roger Marshall, page B-1739, posted 8/6/24]
NOTE: Light grey indicates the expenditures relate to what appears to be one trip.

HEADLINE: "Roger Marshall Calls Kansas Cabin Home. Official Trips Took Him Near $1.2M Florida House." [Kansas City Star, 9/25/24]

HEADLINE: "Kansas' Roger Marshall Used Taxpayer Dollars To Fly Near His Florida Home. Is That Legal?" [Kansas City Star, 9/26/24]

THIRD, ROGER MARSHALL VOTES WITH THE BIG INSURANCE AND DRUG COMPANIES TO CUT FUNDING FOR HEALTH CARE, AND RURAL HOSPITALS, RAISE PREMIUMS FOR KANSANS AND AGAINST LOWER DRUG PRICES ON THINGS LIKE INSULIN. HIS RECORD IS SO BAD HE GOT ANGRY AND RAN OUT OF A TOWN HALL WHEN ASKED ABOUT HIS VOTES.

THIRD, ROGER MARSHALL VOTES WITH THE BIG INSURANCE AND DRUG COMPANIES TO CUT FUNDING FOR HEALTH CARE, AND RURAL HOSPITALS

MARSHALL VOTED FOR THE ONE BIG BEAUTIFUL BILL, WHICH CUT FUNDING FOR HEALTH CARE AND RURAL HOSPITALS

THE ONE BIG BEAUTIFUL BILL WILL CUT MEDICAID IN KANSAS BY $2.785 BILLION, INCLUDING OVER A BILLION IN RURAL KANSAS, PUTTING RURAL HOSPITALS AT RISK OF CLOSURE

Manatt Health: The Senate Version Of The Reconciliation Bill Would Reduce Medicaid Spending In Kansas By $2.785 Billion Through 2034. "Using its Medicaid Financing model, Manatt Health (Manatt) has prepared updated estimates on Senate-passed H.R.1 including state-by-state estimates of Medicaid coverage and expenditure impacts (Table 1), hospital expenditure impacts (Table 2), and new Congressional District (CD)-level coverage and hospital expenditure impacts (Table 3). These indicate that states would experience a substantial reduction in Medicaid expenditures and significant Medicaid coverage losses over 10 years, and the nation's hospitals would sustain substantial cuts to Medicaid funding over the same time period."

State	Overall Medicaid Expenditure Impact	
	Senate Bill	
	$ Millions	% from Baseline
Total	**$ (1,248,773)**	**-11.5%**
Kansas	(2,785)	-4.8%

[Manatt Health, "Senate-Passed H.R. 1: Updated Estimates on Impact to State Medicaid Coverage and Expenditures, Hospital Expenditures, Including Impacts by Congressional District", 7/1/25]

KFF: The Big Beautiful Bill Would Reduce Federal Medicaid Spending In Rural Kansas By $1.08 Billion Over A Ten-Year Period.

Figure 2

Largest Declines in Federal Medicaid Spending in Rural Areas Would Occur in States That Expanded Medicaid and Have Higher Shares of Rural Residents

Federal Medicaid spending in rural areas is estimated to decrease by $137 billion over a 10-year period under the enacted reconciliation package



Note: The analysis uses T-MSIS data to estimate the percentage of Medicaid spending that paid for services used by rural enrollees within each state. Those percentages are then applied to state-level estimated reductions in federal Medicaid spending from KFF's broader analysis of federal Medicaid spending reductions. Spending data in 2021 for Mississippi and West Virginia was unusable according to the DQ Atlas, so 2020 data was used instead.

Source: Allocating CBO's Estimates of Federal Medicaid Spending Reductions and Enrollment Loss Across the States, and KFF analysis of the T-MSIS Research Identifiable Files, 2021 • Get the data • Download PNG

KFF

[KFF, 7/24/25]

Brown University School Of Public Health Researchers: 7 Nursing Homes In Kansan Would Be At High Risk Of Closure Due To Cuts To Medicaid In The House-Passed Reconciliation Bill. "Senate Finance Committee Ranking Member Ron Wyden, D-Ore., Finance Committee member Senator Mark Warner, D-Va., Senate Budget Committee Ranking Member Jeff Merkley, D-Ore., and Senate Democratic Leader Chuck Schumer, D-N.Y., today shared new data about the impact of the Republican budget bill on nursing homes and Americans who count on these facilities. The analysis, conducted by researchers from the Brown University School of Public Health, identifies 579 nursing homes that would be at elevated risk of closure due to the proposed cuts to Medicaid in the Republican budget bill."

KS	MEDICALODGES PITTSBURG	87	51	1	Urban	CRAWFORD	66762	20037
KS	MEDICALODGES JACKSON COUNTY	89	20	1	Metropolitan	JACKSON	66436	20085
KS	DIVERSICARE OF CHANUTE	88	65	1	Urban	NEOSHO	66720	20133
KS	ROLLING HILLS HEALTH CENTER	87	67	1	Metropolitan	SHAWNEE	66614	20177
KS	BONNER SPRINGS NURSING & REHAB CENTER	94	78	1	Metropolitan	WYANDOTTE	66012	20209
KS	KAW RIVER CARE AND REHAB	91	73	2	Metropolitan	WYANDOTTE	66111	20209
KS	MEDICALODGES POST ACUTE CARE CENTER	85	74	1	Metropolitan	WYANDOTTE	66104	20209

[Senate, Committee On Finance, Newsroom, Ranking Member's News, "Wyden, Warner, Merkley and Schumer Highlight Threat to Nursing Homes if GOP Approves Medicaid Cuts", 6/27/25]

Cecil G. Sheps Center For Health Services Research At The University Of North Carolina: 6 Rural Hospitals In Kansas Were Considered At Risk Of Closure. "On Thursday, Senate Democrats cited data from the Cecil G. Sheps Center for Health Services Research at the University of North Carolina outlining at least 338 rural hospitals in red and blue states alike considered to be "at risk," which the senators defined as having either three straight years of negative total margins or being in the top 10% of Medicaid payer mix."

NUMBER OF AT-RISK RURAL HOSPITALS PER STATE

"Substantial cuts to Medicaid or Medicare payments could increase the number of unprofitable rural hospitals and elevate their risk of financial distress. In response, hospitals may be forced to reduce service lines, convert to a different type of health care facility, or close altogether." - Dr. Holmes, Malone, and Pink, Cecil G. Sheps Center for Health Services Research, University of North Carolina

The following is the number of hospitals that meet one or both of two financial criteria: (1) the hospital is in the top 10% Medicaid payer mix of rural hospitals across the country, (2) the hospital has experienced three consecutive years of negative total margin. This means these rural hospitals face greater risk of being forced to stop providing some services, converting, or closing.

STATE	NUMBER OF AT-RISK RURAL HOSPITALS
ALABAMA	5
ALASKA	5
ARIZONA	5
ARKANSAS	1
CALIFORNIA	28
COLORADO	6
CONNECTICUT	1
DELAWARE	1
FLORIDA	1
GEORGIA	4
HAWAII	6
IDAHO	3
ILLINOIS	9
INDIANA	12
IOWA	2
KANSAS	6

[Fierce Healthcare, 7/12/25]

THE ONE BIG BEAUTIFUL BILL WILL CAUSE 19-32,000 KANSANS TO LOSE THEIR INSURANCE

KFF: 19-32,000 Kansans Will Lose Insurance Due To The One Big Beautiful Bill. "Kansas This policy is projected to increase the uninsured population by roughly 26K people — around a 1 percentage point change. This estimate could vary between 19K and 32K based on a 25% range of uncertainty. Of the total increase in the uninsured, 12K would become uninsured due to changes in Medicaid, 12K would become uninsured due to changes in the ACA, and 1.6K would become uninsured because of changes to Medicare and policy interactions." [KFF, 8/20/25]

KFF: Medicaid And CHIP Enrollment In Kansas Decreased 16,396 Since June 2025. [KFF, 6/26/26]

THE ONE BIG BEAUTIFUL BILL INCLUDED A $5 BILLION GIVEAWAY TO THE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY BY EXEMPTING THEIR PRODUCTS FROM MEDICARE NEGOTIATION

HEADLINE: "Drugmakers Notch A $5 Billion Win In Republicans' Policy Bill" [New York Times, 7/3/25]

OBBBA Exempted Certain Drugs From Medicare's Drug Price Negotiation Program, Allowing Drug Makers To Charge Medicare High Prices For Those Drugs, Which Will Cost The Government $5 Billion, And Includes Imbruvica And Pomalyst That Treat Rare Forms Of Cancer. "The sweeping Republican policy bill that awaits President Trump's signature on Friday includes a little-noticed victory for the drug industry. The legislation allows more medications to be exempt from Medicare's price negotiation program, which was created to lower the government's drug spending. Now, manufacturers will be able to keep those prices higher. The change will cut into the government's savings from the negotiation program by nearly $5 billion over a decade, according to an estimate by the nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office. […] The new exemptions will apply to the third round, affecting prices starting in 2028. Had the new rules been in place earlier, several drugs that are currently up for price cuts would have been spared, including Imbruvica and Pomalyst, which treat rare forms of cancer." [New York Times, 7/3/25]

Patients For Affordable Drugs Now: OBBBA Included A "Nearly $5 Billion Giveaway To The Pharmaceutical Industry." "Today, the House passed a reconciliation bill that includes a nearly $5 billion giveaway to the pharmaceutical industry: the ORPHAN Cures Act. Despite outrage from tens of thousands of patients on Medicare to halt its progress, ORPHAN Cures was included in the reconciliation bill, was passed by both chambers this week, and now heads to the President's desk. Its inclusion defies the will of American patients and, if implemented, will diminish the savings due to be generated for patients via the historic and wildly popular Medicare negotiation program. 'When nine in ten Americans want Congress to go further to lower prescription drug prices, there is no good reason to hand Big Pharma a new loophole to exploit — and stick taxpayers with a $5 billion bill,' said Merith Basey, Executive Director of Patients For Affordable Drugs Now." [Patients For Affordable Drugs Now, Press Release, 7/3/25]

RAISE PREMIUMS FOR KANSANS

Senator Roger Marshall Voted Against A Motion To Invoke Cloture On A Motion To Proceed To A Bill That Would Provide For A Three-Year Extension Of The Enhanced Affordable Care Act Tax Credits. In December 2025, Senator Roger Marshall Voted Against "Schumer, D-N.Y., motion to invoke cloture on the Schumer motion to proceed to the bill that would provide for a three-year extension of the enhanced Affordable Care Act tax credits." The motion was rejected by a vote of 51 - 48. [CQ, 12/11/25; S. 3385, Vote 644, 12/11/25]

The One Big Beautiful Bill Did Not Extend The Enhanced Premium Tax Credits. "But the 'One Big Beautiful Bill Act' is missing something health care advocates hoped to see: an extension of the insurance premium tax credits under the enhanced Affordable Care Act that are set to expire at the end of the year. The credits' absence is notable as the bill includes other proposed changes to the ACA marketplace, experts say." [CNBC, 5/23/25]

KFF: Ending Enhanced Premium Tax Credits Would Increase The Cost Of Affordable Care Act Premiums For Recipients On Average By 77%, Or $708 Annually. [KFF, 7/26/24]

2025: 187,387 People In Kansas Received The Enhanced Premium Tax Credits. [Keep Americans Covered, 11/2/25]

ACA Effectuated Enrollment In Kansas Decreased By 36,040, Or 20% In 2026. "Following several years of rapid enrollment growth in the Affordable Care Act (ACA) Marketplaces that corresponded with temporary enhanced premium tax credits, enrollment fell for the first time in seven years in 2026, when those tax credits expired. The Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation (ASPE) of the Department of Health and Human Services reported that enrollment declined by nearly three million people between 2025 and 2026. Earlier federal data and analysis had focused on plan selections, or sign-ups, which decreased by about one million (5%) from last year, but plan selections do not account for enrollees who ultimately do not make their premium payments and are not covered. Effectuated enrollment is different from selection or sign-up data in that it accounts for who paid their premiums. Consumers who canceled their coverage or did not make their premium payments, resulting in termination of coverage, do not contribute to effectuated enrollment totals." [KFF, 7/28/26]



AND AGAINST LOWER DRUG PRICES ON THINGS LIKE INSULIN

August 2022: Senator Roger Marshall Voted Against The Inflation Reduction Act, The FY 2022 Budget Reconciliation Bill A Package Of Climate, Tax, And Health Care Provisions. In August 2022, Senator Roger Marshall Voted Against: "Passage of the bill, as amended, that would comprise a package of climate, tax and health care provisions. Among drug pricing provisions, the bill would require the Health and Human Services Department to negotiate a "maximum fair price" with drug manufacturers for certain Medicare-eligible, brand-name drugs that do not have generic competition; cap cost-sharing for insulin products covered under Medicare at $35 a month; and require single-source drug manufacturers to provide rebates to HHS for the price of drugs under Medicare Parts B and D for which price increases outpace inflation. For Medicare Part D, it would cap the annual out-of-pocket limit at $2,000. It would extend through 2025 tax subsidies toward Affordable Care Act marketplace insurance premiums for individuals under a certain income level. The bill would provide for approximately $270 billion in new or expanded tax credits to incentivize actions by businesses and individuals to mitigate climate change, including production credits for electricity produced by renewable and nuclear facilities; investment tax credits for certain renewable energy equipment and facilities; and credits for advanced energy manufacturing projects, including in areas where a coal mine or power plant has closed. To incentivize emission reduction and clean fuel production, it would create or extend tax credits for carbon oxide sequestration facilities; biodiesel, renewable diesel and alternative fuels; and clean hydrogen facilities. For most of its corporate tax credits, it would add prevailing wage and apprenticeship requirements and establish bonus credits for using domestic materials in facility construction. It would also expand individual tax credits for residential energy efficiency improvements and renewable energy expenses; increase credits for new energy efficient homes; and create credits for the purchase of used electric vehicles by individuals under a certain income level. It would reinstate the Superfund tax on crude oil at a rate of 16.4 cents per barrel. Among other tax provisions, the bill would establish a 15 percent alternative minimum tax for corporations with a book income of at least $1 million annually and institute a 1 percent excise tax on corporate stock buybacks. It would authorize $79.3 billion for IRS operations, including enforcement activities and systems modernization. The bill would provide funding for various activities to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, promote energy-efficient technologies and mitigate the impacts of climate change, including $27 billion for grants to state, local and nonprofit entities for greenhouse gas emission reduction activities; $9.7 billion for zero-emission or carbon capture rural electric systems; $5 billion for loan guarantees to replace or reduce emissions of energy infrastructure; $3 billion for zero-emission vehicles for the Postal Service; and $1.6 billion for methane emissions reduction and mitigation. It would provide $9 billion for residential energy efficiency improvement rebates; $3 billion for new EPA environmental and climate justice block grants for community-led activities to address pollution, emission reduction, climate resiliency and public engagement; and $3 billion for Federal Highway Administration grants for projects that address surface transportation facilities that disconnect or negatively impact communities. It would provide $4 billion for drought mitigation in Western states; $2.15 billion for hazardous fuel reduction and restoration projects; and $1 billion to improve energy and water efficiency or climate resilience of affordable housing. It would require the Interior Department to accept bids for certain canceled oil and gas leases on the outer continental shelf. It would authorize wind lease sales on the shelf adjacent to U.S. territories but prohibit new wind or solar development rights on federal lands for 10 years unless the department completes certain oil or gas lease sales." The bill passed by a vote of 50-50. [CQ, 8/7/22; H.R. 5376, Vote 325, 8/7/22]

- **KFF: The Inflation Reduction Act Will Require The Federal Government To Negotiate Prices For Some Drugs Covered Under Medicare, Cap Out-Of-Pocket Insulin Costs At $35, And Make Other Policy Changes To Reduce Prescription Costs For People With Medicare.** "The prescription drug provisions included in the Inflation Reduction Act will: Require the federal government to negotiate prices for some drugs covered under Medicare Part B and Part D with the highest total spending, beginning in 2026 Require drug companies to pay rebates to Medicare if prices rise faster than inflation for drugs used by Medicare beneficiaries, beginning in 2023 Cap out-of-pocket spending for Medicare Part D enrollees and make other Part D benefit design changes, beginning in 2024 Limit monthly cost sharing for insulin to $35 for people with Medicare, beginning in 2023 Eliminate cost sharing for adult vaccines covered under Medicare Part D and improve access to adult vaccines in Medicaid and CHIP, beginning in 2023 Expand eligibility for full benefits under the Medicare Part D Low-Income Subsidy Program, beginning in 2024 Further delay implementation of the Trump Administration's drug rebate rule, beginning in 2027" [KFF, 1/24/23]

HIS RECORD IS SO BAD HE GOT ANGRY AND RAN OUT OF A TOWN HALL WHEN ASKED ABOUT HIS VOTES.

Daily Beast: In March 2025, Marshall "Fled" A Kansas Town Hall Meeting After He Was Confronted By Voters Who Were Angry About DOGE Cuts. "Republican Senator Roger Marshall fled a rowdy rural Kansas town hall meeting early after he was confronted by angry voters who raged against DOGE cuts and the U.S. stance on ending the conflict in Ukraine. The legislator reportedly walked out 20 minutes early, after labelling the audience in Oakley, Kansas, 'rude.' 'You know, this is one of the rudest audiences I've ever had,' a viral clip shows Marshall saying, as the crowd cheers sarcastically. He pulled the plug soon after when grilled about cuts affecting veterans. Marshall took to X on Monday and shared a message from President Donald Trump, suggesting the Saturday event was sabotaged by 'paid troublemakers.'" [Daily Beast, 3/11/25]

- **A Kansas Constituent Who Drove Five Hours To Be At The Town Hall Said Marshall's Exit Was A "Surprise" To Everyone As People Were Yelling "You Got 20 Minutes Left."** "Colin McRoberts, who drove five hours to be at the town hall, said that Marshall appeared shocked by the amount of people who showed up, with seats laid out for around 20-30 people. The meeting had to be moved to a larger space inside the local hospital when double that figure attended, according to KCUR in Kansas City. McRoberts said the comment that 'sent him out of the room,' was from a gentleman who said 'I'm not a Democrat, I'm just worried about the veterans, man.' Jeers and boos rang out as Marshall glibly said 'God bless America' and bolted for the door, stating that he had 'two more commitments today.' 'You're not done!' one person could be heard shouting. 'No, wait!' said another. 'It was a surprise to everyone, to the point where no one really knew what was happening until he was out of the room and somebody started to yell 'why are you leaving? Where are you going? You got 20 minutes left.' It was a very quick exit,' McRoberts told CNN." [Daily Beast, 3/11/25]

Marshall Said, "You Know, This Is One Of The Rudest Audiences I've Ever Had" About A Kansas Town Hall Meeting Audience That Confronted Him About DOGE Cuts. "Republican Senator Roger Marshall fled a rowdy rural Kansas town hall meeting early after he was confronted by angry voters who raged against DOGE cuts and the U.S. stance on ending the conflict in Ukraine. The legislator reportedly walked out 20 minutes early, after labelling the audience in Oakley, Kansas, 'rude.' 'You know, this is one of the rudest audiences I've ever had,' a viral clip shows Marshall saying, as the crowd cheers sarcastically. He pulled the plug soon after when grilled about cuts affecting veterans. Marshall took to X on Monday and shared a message from President Donald Trump, suggesting the Saturday event was sabotaged by 'paid troublemakers.'" [Daily Beast, 3/11/25]

WIBW (Topeka): "Senator Roger Marshall Cut Short A Town Hall In Oakley Last Night After Tensions Rose During Discussions About Veterans, Medicaid, And Healthcare. […] Attendees Clashed With Marshall's Responses To Questions About Veterans And Healthcare. Shortly After, He Left." Anchor: "Senator Roger Marshall cut short a town hall in Oakley last night after tensions rose during discussions about veterans, Medicaid, and healthcare. The video we're about to show you is obtained by our sister station KWCH and it shows a man, an attendee of the townhall, addressing Marshall directly, telling the Senator about his family and military service and concerns he had about unintended consequences of actions being taken at the federal level." Attendee: "I support the veterans but what you're doing right now, what the government is doing right now as far as cutting out those jobs, a huge percentage of those people, and I know you care about the veterans, are veterans. And that is a damn shame. That is a damn shame." Anchor: "Marshall's constituents came from around northwest Kansas and around the state to speak with him in person, ask questions, and discuss federal policy. People asked about a range of topics, including the Russia-Ukraine war, the Farm Bill, and the Department of Government Efficiency. Attendees clashed with Marshall's responses to questions about veterans and healthcare. Shortly after, he left." Roger Marshall: "We don't have time for everybody to stand up. I do got two more commitments today, appreciate making the drive out and God Bless America. Thank you." [audience yelling] [YouTube, WIBW 13 News | Topeka, KS, 3/2/25] (VIDEO)

KMBC (Kansas City): "Less Than An Hour Before The Town Hall Was To End Marshall Walked Out. Right Before This Happened People Were Asking About Those Recent Federal Job Cuts And The Effect On Veterans." ANCHOR: "People still talking about a Saturday town hall out in Oakley, Kansas hosted by Senator Roger Marshall and how it ended early." [crowd booing Marshall] "Less than an hour before the town hall was to end Marshall walked out. Right before this happened people were asking about those recent federal job cuts and the effect on veterans." [KMBC, 3/2/25] (VIDEO)

CNN (National): "In Kansas This Weekend, Republican Senator Roger Marshall Was Confronted By A Larger Than Expected Crowd That Pressed Him On A Number Of Issues. But It Was A Number About DOGE Job Cuts Impacting Military Veterans That Prompted This Moment And Then The Senator's Abrupt Exit," Reporter: "The impact of widespread layoffs by Elon Musk and DOGE in an effort to shrink the federal government is having ripple effects far beyond Washington, D.C. In Kansas this weekend, Republican Senator Roger Marshall was confronted by a larger than expected crowd that pressed him on a number of issues. But it was a number about DOGE job cuts impacting military veterans that prompted this moment and then the senator's abrupt exit." [CNN, CNN Newsroom, 3/2/25] (VIDEO)

Video Of Roger Marshall Walking Out Of A Town Hall Meeting In Oakley. [X, @mattmfm, 3/1/25] (VIDEO)